DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

FISCAL YEAR 2002 ENFORCEMENT STATUS REPORT JULY 1, 2001 through JUNE 30, 2002

The Enforcement Division (ENFD) is responsible for the implementation, coordination and tracking of Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) enforcement activities, and for the investigation and response to spills and citizen complaints that allege impacts to human health and the environment. The Enforcement Division consists of the Complaint Management Section and the Case Management Bureau.

I. Complaint Management Statistics

Total number of complaint and spill reports received during the report period was 1011.

In an effort to delineate areas of regulatory responsibility for complaints received by the department, a complaint type is assigned that generally relates to program authority. The Complaint Management Section is responsible for the initial investigation of all complaints to determine their validity and to ensure proper follow-up occurs (if needed). Spills being recorded are typically associated with unauthorized material releases resulting from vehicle accidents, mechanical malfunctions, or human error.

Number of Complaint / Spill Reports by Type	(DEQ Pro	gram or Environmental Media) & General Grou)		
Air Quality - Indoors	10	Spills (not impacting water) 19.0%	192		
Air Quality - Dust	67	Clandestine Drug Lab	10		
Air Quality - Emissions	55	Solid Waste	95		
Air Quality - Odors	18	Junk Vehicles	19		
Air Quality - Open Burning	55	Septic Pumpers	5		
Asbestos	56	Sewage	22		
Total Air Quality Related 25.8%	261	Hazardous Waste	25		
Water Quality	98	Used Oil	44		
Spills (impacting water)	26	Pesticides			
Non-Point Source Discharges	24	Municipal Waste Water Treatment Systems	10		
Watershed Management (TMDL)	1	Superfund	1		
Release of Chemicals from Tanks *	20	Total Waste Related 23.8%	241		
Release of Chemicals from Pipelines	12	Abandoned Mines	3		
Suspect Hydrocarbon Release	5	Strip and Underground Mining	0		
Underground Storage Tank Regulation	13	Opencut Gravel Mining	16		
Subdivisions	20	Metal Mines	3		
Concentrated Animal Feedlot Operations	20	Total Mining Related 2.2%	22		
Surface Water (MPDES) Permits	21	Major Facility Siting Act (MFSA) 0.4%	4		
Ground Water (MGWPCS) Permits	1	Other (Outside DEQ Authority) 1.5%	15		
Public Water Supply Systems	15				
Total Water Related 27.3%	276	TOTAL FOR ALL COMPLAINTS	1011		

^{*} Note that these are releases reported through ENFD. The Hazardous Waste Site Cleanup Bureau received 57 leak reports directly during this time.

Status of complaint and spill reports received during reporting period:

Complaint reports are sent to the ENFD for initial investigation and database entry. Referral occurs when the determination is made that the complaint falls under a specific regulatory authority either within DEQ or of an outside agency. Referral contacts are asked to keep the ENFD informed as to progress and resolution of complaint investigations. If the complainant requests a reply as to actions taken on their complaint, one is provided.

Status of Complaint Spill Reports *					
Active (under investigation by ENFD)	50				
Active Referred (to other DEQ program for investigation and follow-up)	85				
Active Enforcement Case (complaint that led to a request for enforcement action)	13				
Closed (resolved by ENFD)					
Closed by Program (resolved by other DEQ program)	147				
Closed No Violation (ENFD investigation determined no violation occurred)	138				
Closed Referred (referred to outside Agency for resolution)	97				
Closed Not Enough Information (not enough information was provided or documented to validate)	23				
* Status as of 6/30/02. Please note that the status of complaints and spills can change on a daily basis as work progresses.	1011				

II. Case Management Statistics

The following tables provide various statistics concerning enforcement actions taken by the Department of Environmental Quality from July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002.

Enforcement Actions Processed by Statute											
	Enfo	rcement Red	quests	Status of Enforcement Requests							
Statute	Total Caseload	Cases continuing FY01	Actions requested FY02	Case Develop- ment	In Litigation	Under Order	Referred Cases	Vacated, Stayed or Suspended	Withdrawn	Closed	
Asbestos Control Act	9	4	5	1	1	3	3	0	0	1	
Clean Air Act of Montana	19	14	5	3	3	4	1	0	0	8	
Metal Mine Reclamation Act	12	10	2	0	4	4	0	0	0	4	
Montana Hazardous Waste Act	14	12	2	2	0	9	0	0	0	3	
Montana Solid Waste Management Act	17	16	1	2	1	10	0	1	0	3	
Montana Underground Storage Tank Act	45	29	16	16	0	14	0	1	4	10	
Montana Underground Storage Tank Installer and Inspector Licensing and Permitting Act	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Motor Vehicle Recycling & Disposal Laws	16	14	2	2	1	12	0	0	0	1	
Opencut Mining Act	28	12	16	5	9	9	0	0	0	5	
Public Water Supply Laws	63	59	5	5	4	35	0	2	2	15	
Sanitation in Subdivision Laws	9	5	4	0	3	3	0	2	0	1	
Strip and Underground Mine Reclamation Act	32	24	8	0	4	5	0	2	0	21	
Water Quality Act	32	25	7	1	3	18	0	2	2	6	
TOTAL	297	225	73	37	33	127	4	10	8	78	

Case status explanations

Case Development: Case is being developed in the Enforcement Division and/or Legal Unit. Activities include: 1) preparation and review of files and evidence; 2) preparation of administrative and judicial enforcement documents; 3) preparation of penalty calculations.

In Litigation: Defendant and the department are engaged in pre-complaint settlement negotiations; e.g., a demand letter has been sent to the defendant, the defendant has been requested to stipulate to a draft administrative order, etc.

Under Order: Violator is subject to a legally-enforceable administrative or judicial order.

Referred Case: Case referred to another agency for case management.

Vacated: Case was vacated, either by mutual agreement or by the court, and is closed.

Stayed: Case in which the department refrains from enforcing an administrative order against a violator

Suspended: Case that is discontinued temporarily or permanently but is not closed.

Withdrawn: Enforcement Request was withdrawn before case development began.

Closed Enforcement Case: Case is closed. The defendant has satisfied the terms of the settlement agreement or order.

Analysis of Enforcement Actions by Enforcement Action Type							
Statute	2002 Case Load	Enforcement Action Type					
Statute	2002 Case Load	Admin.	Civil	Criminal	Referred		
Asbestos Control Act	9	3	3		3		
Clean Air Act of Montana	19	14	4		1		
Metal Mine Reclamation Laws	12	11	1				
Montana Hazardous Waste Act	14	9	5				
Montana Solid Waste Management Act	17	10	7				
Montana Underground Storage Tank Act	45	40	5				
Montana Underground Storage Tank Installer and Inspector Licensing and Permitting Act	1	0	1				
Motor Vehicle Recycling and Disposal Laws	16	8	7		1		
Opencut Mining Act	28	27	1				
Public Water Supply Laws	63	51	12				
Sanitation in Subdivision Laws	9	9					
Strip and Underground Mine Reclamation Act	32	32					
Water Quality Act	32	23	8	1			
TOTAL	297	237	54	1	5		

Penalties Statistics (in dollars)									
Statute	Orders w/ Penalties FY2002	Monetary Settlement Penalties		Monetary Penalties Collected		Penalties Suspended	Suppl. Env. Project		
		Admin.	Civil	Admin.	Civil	Suspended	i ioject		
Asbestos Control Act	1	\$5,677		\$5,677					
Clean Air Act of Montana	8	\$108,019	\$40,000	\$63,019	\$115,000		\$60,525		
Metal Mine Reclamation Laws	4	\$6,555		\$10,680					
Montana Hazardous Waste Act	5	\$20,177	\$16,400	\$7,630	\$450		\$33,724		
Montana Solid Waste Management Act	1	\$13,500		\$13,500	\$5,000				
Montana Underground Storage Tank Act	10	\$4,675		\$2,875	\$3,372				
Montana Underground Storage Tank Installer and Inspector Licensing and Permitting Act	0				\$12,000				
Opencut Mining Act	11	\$12,037		\$11,337					
Public Water Supply Laws	6	\$17,140		\$9,505					
Sanitation in Subdivision Laws	2	\$53,625		\$12,375	\$4,000				
Strip and Underground Mine Reclamation Act	6	\$2,060		\$302,060					
Water Quality Act	3	\$48,600		\$48,600			\$823,965		
Subtotal*		\$292,065	\$56,400	\$487,258	\$139,822				
TOTAL	57	\$348	,465	\$62	7,080	\$0	\$918,215		

^{*} The amount of Penalties Collected does not equal the Settlement Penalties because; (1) penalties were not due before the end of FY2002, (2) penalties are being paid under a payment schedule, (3) portions of penalties have been suspended, (4) the violator has failed to pay the penalty, (5) the violator has appealed the penalty order, or (6) the violator is or may be insolvent. In instances where the violator has not paid a penalty that is owed, the department is actively pursuing collection.